Date: 07/13/2011 08:05 AM

From: Victor Ketellapper/R8/USEPA/US

To: Cirian.Mike, Murray.Bill, pennock.sonya,

Faulk.Libby

Subject: Fw: EPA scrambling to change facts??

Victor Ketellapper USEPA Region 8

303-312-6578

---- Forwarded by Victor Ketellapper/R8/USEPA/US on 07/13/2011 08:05 AM -----

From: Libby Citizen < libbycitizens@gmail.com>

To: Mike Cirian/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Victor Ketellapper/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/12/2011 10:22 PM

Subject: Fwd: EPA scrambling to change facts??

Hey Mike

Do you really think we're the vocal minority?

What's wrong with you?

Think we're stupid or what?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Libby Citizen libbycitizens@gmail.com

Date: Tue, Jul 12, 2011 at 8:29 PM

Subject: EPA scrambling to change facts??

To: LibbyCitizens@gmail.com

Although EPA Region 8 is apparently frantically attempting to revise history....and Libby Leaders likewise scramble in CYA efforts,

Libby leaders, thou doth protest too much, and apparently not in the best interest of your community?

Sorry, we see right through the frenzied unfounded roclamations....BASED ON WHAT?

Please provide documentation to back your proclamations about the "safety" of the bark immediately.

THERE CAN BE NOACTUAL PROCLAMATION WITHOUT A PROPER RISK ASSESSMENT.

It's impossible to change facts....WHY DO YOU KEEP TRYING TO CHANGE FACTS WITHOUT A RISK ASSESSMENT?

Here is their original response from EPA bout the bark in Libby, Montana that apparently tested positive for mphibole asbestos

that is potentially lethal when stirred- ACKNOWLEDGED BY EPA.....

You may want to use your energy to seek out actual science and medical expert opinions, before forming such baseless opinions.

What is EPA Region 8 thinking???

--- OnMon, 3/7/11, Pennock. Sonya@epamail.epa.gov < Pennock. Sonya@epamail.epa.gov > rote:

From: Pennock. Sonya@epamail.epa.govPennock. Sonya@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Additional responses to your questions about the OU5 bark
To: "DC Orr" <xcav8orr@hotmail.com>,filmwest@gmail.com,gordsull@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, March 7, 2011, 1:39 PM

In response to your additional questions regarding the OU5 bark:

EPA does not know where the bark on the OU5 property came from. The bark was removed from the site before EPA developed its final cleanup decision for OU5.

EPA does not know where the bark from OU5 went.

EPA did not fully characterize the material and did not determine a level of contamination. EPA continues to develop its final cleanup decision for OU5.

EPA does not know if the bark that was removed from OU5 "spread contamination."

Sonya Pennock Office of Communications & Public Involvement US/EPA Region 8 1595 Wynkoop Street Denver, CO 80202-1129

Phone: 303-312-6600